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Chapter 1. Subject

The subject of this Engineering Report (ER) is a code sprint that was held from 26 to 28 May 2021 to advance the development of the OGC API - Maps draft standard, OGC API - Tiles draft standard, and the OGC API – Styles draft standard. An Application Programming Interface (API) is a standard set of documented and supported functions and procedures that expose the capabilities or data of an operating system, application or service to other applications (adapted from ISO/IEC TR 13066-2:2016). The code sprint was hosted online. The code sprint was sponsored by Ordnance Survey (OS) and Natural Resources Canada (NRCan).
Chapter 2. Executive Summary

This Engineering Report (ER) summarizes the main achievements of the May 2021 OGC API Virtual Code Sprint, conducted between May 26 – 28, 2021. The goal of the code sprint was to progress the development of the draft OGC API standards for Maps, Tiles and Styles. The sprint also sought to help to identify issues and options for addressing those issues.

The objectives of the code sprint were to:

- Develop prototype implementations of OGC API – Maps
- Develop prototype implementations of OGC API – Tiles
- Develop prototype implementations of OGC API – Styles
- Test the prototype implementations
- Provide feedback to the Editor about what worked and what did not work
- Provide feedback about the specification document, especially what is missing from the document

Part of the motivation for holding the sprint was:

- APIs have proven to be a popular and a very effective enabler of rapid software development
- There is an increasing need for optimizing geospatial interoperability between Web APIs
- There is phenomenal adoption of location-handling capabilities in software within and outside of geospatial developer communities

The draft OGC API – Maps specification describes an API that presents data as maps by applying a style. The draft specification enables a client application to request maps as images. This includes the ability to specify or change parameters such as the size of an image and coordinate reference systems at the time of request.

The draft OGC API – Tiles specification describes an API building block that can enable other OGC API implementations to serve maps or tiled feature data divided into individual tiles. The draft specification includes concepts such as tile matrix sets and tile schemes. The draft standard can be used to publish map tiles and tiled feature data (e.g. GeoJSON Vector Tiles and Mapbox Vector Tiles).

The draft OGC API – Styles specification defines a Web API that enables map servers, clients as well as visual style editors, to manage and fetch styles that consist of symbolizing instructions that can be applied by a rendering engine on features and/or coverages.

The code sprint facilitated the development and testing of prototype implementations of the OGC API - Maps draft standard, OGC API - Tiles draft standard, and the OGC API – Styles draft standard. The code sprint therefore successfully met all of its objectives and achieved its goal of progressing the development of the draft OGC API standards for Maps, Tiles and Styles.

2.1. Summary of Outcomes

The outcomes and findings of the sprint can be summarized as follows:
• The Tiles API was found to be reasonably stable. However, there appears to be different interpretations of how to apply styles to maps collections and maps of datasets.

• Evolution of the Well Known Scale Set (WKSS) concept into common Tile Matrix Set (TMS) concepts was another outcome. The sprint participants suggested that information provided by WKSS could be derived from a TMS. Further consultation with other OGC Working Groups will be needed to determine the future role of WKSS in the 2D TMS Standard.

• Another key outcome is that interoperability of buildings blocks has been completely demonstrated. The three APIs have been successfully demonstrated together.

• The sprint has shown that a lot that is common can be shared across the APIs i.e. how much OGC API - Common - Part 2 facilitates the client implementation.

• The interaction between OGC APIs for Maps, Tiles, and Styles worked well. No major issues came up that could not be verified and/or resolved.

• More work needs to be done on the Styles API in general e.g. to determine the impact on API resources when styles are used.

• The code sprint focused on the API aspects of the styles but not on the formats of the styles. More work is needed on the format aspects of the styles (e.g. in relation to the Symbology Core [https://docs.ogc.org/is/18-067r3/18-067r3.html] standard).

• While in the Tiles API a metadata model has been developed, in the Maps API there has been less interest in developing a specific metadata model.

The sprint participants considered what the APIs will do to help meet the needs of the National Mapping Agency (NMA) community. The following is a summary:

• **Providing the public with access to geospatial data and maps**: The OGC APIs will make it easier for the general public to access maps through regular web browser technologies. For example, through OGC API - Maps it is now possible to access a complete map through a basic URL (i.e. no query parameters). OGC API - Tiles will make it easier to publish maps as tiled feature data (colloquially named 'vector tiles'), which are becoming increasingly popular in the NMA community. The APIs are able to provide data in a way that 2.5D and 3D visualization clients are able to handle.

• **Facilitating analytics**: OGC API - Tiles is able to publish tiled coverage data in such a way that makes it easier to 'stream' coverages for analysis at the screen resolution. This makes it possible to create histograms, vegetation indices, and other analytical reports all at the screen resolution. The flexibility of specifying the origin of the tiles will make it easier to combine regular OGC tiles with other tiles.

• **Reducing barriers to accessing geospatial data**: OGC APIs together make it easier to start with a dataset and then find a way to generate tiles and other resources. The OGC APIs are integrated in a very convenient way. The Styles API makes it possible for NMA's to publish styles from a central location in a way that is consistent with how they publish data. The integrated environment makes it easier to manage things together.
2.2. Plan outlining how the sprint’s outcomes will be incorporated into future OGC activities

The following is a plan outlining how the sprint's outcomes will be presented to the OGC Standards Program and Innovation Program for potential consideration for future activities.

2.2.1. Potential activities for the Innovation Program

For the OGC Innovation Program, the sprint participants identified a need to:

- experiment with multidimensional data support in OGC APIs.
- explore how to turn legends into real data (objects) that can be combined by the client (e.g. asking a client to provide the elements that are in a legend).
- research how simple a structure needs to be to meet the needs for a legend while also being easily implementable.
- experiment with coverage tiles, as they are becoming increasingly important (e.g. in support of rendering a Digital Surface Model (DSM)). Strategies for identifying suitable sizes of the tiles need to tested/researched.
- experiment with non-grid coverages (e.g. point clouds).
- explore the possibility of an 'info' capability that supports different data sources and query options (not just retrieval of the value at a point).

OGC Innovation Program activities rely on sponsorship to resource initiatives. Therefore, the potential activities listed above will be presented to the OGC Technical Committee through the Architecture Domain Working Group in order to raise interest from potential sponsors.

2.2.2. Potential activities for the Standards Program

For the OGC Standards Program, the sprint participants identified a need to:

- specify a legend conformance class for the OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles draft specifications.
- specify an 'info' conformance class for the OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles draft specifications.
- implement an OGC API - Maps conformance class/extension to support time dependent maps (in a way similar to the OGC Best Practice for using Web Map Services (WMS) with Time-Dependent or Elevation-Dependent Data (1.0) [https://portal.ogc.org/files/?artifact_id=56394]) e.g. the subset and datetime parameters.

The OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles Standards Working Groups will be tasked with specifying requirements for the legend and info conformance classes. Once the requirements have been specified, there may be a need to conduct further experimentation focusing on implementations of the legend and info conformance classes.
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2.4. Foreword

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. The Open Geospatial Consortium shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Recipients of this document are requested to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent claims or other intellectual property rights of which they may be aware that might be infringed by any implementation of the standard set forth in this document, and to provide supporting documentation.
Chapter 3. References

The following normative documents are referenced in this document.

Chapter 4. Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this report, the definitions specified in Clause 4 of the OWS Common Implementation Standard OGC 06-121r9 [https://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=38867&version=2] shall apply. In addition, the following terms and definitions apply.

- **API**
  
  An Application Programming Interface (API) is a standard set of documented and supported functions and procedures that expose the capabilities or data of an operating system, application, or service to other applications (adapted from ISO/IEC TR 13066-2:2016).

- **coordinate reference system**
  
  A coordinate system that is related to the real world by a datum term name (source: ISO 19111)

- **OpenAPI Document**
  
  A document (or set of documents) that defines or describes an API. An OpenAPI definition uses and conforms to the OpenAPI Specification (https://www.openapis.org)

- **Web API**
  
  API using an architectural style that is founded on the technologies of the Web [source: OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core]

### 4.1. Abbreviated terms

- **API** Application Programming Interface
- **CRS** Coordinate Reference System
- **OGC** Open Geospatial Consortium
- **SLD** Styled Layer Descriptor
- **WMS** Web Map Service
- **WMTS** Web Map Tile Service
Chapter 5. Introduction

This Engineering Report (ER) summarizes the main achievements of the May 2021 OGC API Virtual Code Sprint, conducted between May 26 – 28, 2021. The sprint had been organized to advance the development of the draft OGC API - Maps, OGC API - Tiles and OGC API - Styles standards. Sprint participants prototyped implementations of the draft standards, validating the requirements and providing feedback so that the draft standards could be improved.

An OGC Code Sprint is a collaborative and inclusive event driven by innovative and rapid programming with minimal process and organization constraints to support the development of new applications and open standards. OGC Code Sprints experiment with emerging ideas in the context of geospatial standards, help improve interoperability of existing standards by experimenting with new extensions or profiles, and are used as a proof of concept for other OGC Innovation Program initiatives, or support OGC Standards Program activities.

The code sprint was sponsored by Ordnance Survey (OS) and Natural Resources Canada (NRCan).

5.1. User Needs and Use Cases

To help the sprint participants prioritize their efforts, the sprint organizers invited NRCan to outline User Needs from NRCan's perspective as a National Mapping Agency (NMA). This section summarizes the user needs.

5.1.1. Introduction to Natural Resources Canada

NRCan is a part of the Federal Government of Canada. NRCan is mandated to ensure the sustainable development of Canada's natural resources, allowing the department’s work to explore energy, minerals and metals, forests, earth sciences, mapping, and remote sensing. Geospatial data plays a key role in all of the aforementioned areas, hence NRCan’s interest in the development of OGC APIs. As the NMA of Canada, NRCan plays a critical nation-wide role in the distribution of authoritative geospatial data products, including cartographic products such as maps.

5.1.2. The Priorities that drive the Need for APIs

There are specific priorities that drive what NRCan would like to see from OGC APIs. These include for example: climate change, response to disasters/extreme events, the Arctic, trade, sovereignty, and Indigenous reconciliation. The government has a strong desire to have collaboration and innovation within government processes in order to benefit Canadian society broadly. Innovation provides a bridge between the government’s internal focus areas and how these will apply within Canada and its position in the world. So, indeed, all the OGC APIs that are being developed through this sprint will, in the future, help to benefit society.

5.1.3. Specific Needs

OGC APIs have a substantial role to play in the future of NMAs. At NRCan, this role is likely to involve the development and provision of microservices in order to support the delivery of geospatial data, maps and analytics. This role can be described in terms of the following needs:
- **Providing the public with access to geospatial data and maps**: This is a key function of an NMA. OGC APIs have the potential to help NMAs to provide open data in a way that conforms to FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable). This enables the members of the public to make use of the geospatial data and maps as they see fit (e.g. in support of other parts of the community or economy).

- **Facilitating analytics**: Making geospatial a fundamental part of national decision making requires consideration of how to optimize the use of location information. By focusing firstly on analytics, geospatial experts can be enabled to help others, then those experts can make better decisions through geospatial information analytics.

- **Reducing barriers to accessing geospatial data**: Geospatial data has become more accessible over the past decade. However, there has also been a significant increase in the demand for knowledge and expertise in all sorts of development to use geospatial information. Significant need also exists to extend geospatial information accessibility to individuals with disabilities to ensure everyone can benefit from geospatial data.

### 5.1.4. Sprint Areas of Interest

For demonstration purposes, Sprint participants were encouraged to publish specific data and maps through OGC APIs for the following Areas of Interest (AOI):

**Europe**: The area around Bournemouth, England, within the extent specified by this GeoJSON file [https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05/blob/main/BournemouthAOI.geojson] or this WKT string in EPSG:4326 coordinates POLYGON -2.13384466616954 50.5343261657655, -2.14712951953212 50.822458640394, -1.77636133932212 50.8243659606517, -1.75884948716236 50.539699354356, -2.13384466616954 50.5343261657655.


The datasets that were recommended for the code sprint included:

**Ordnance Survey datasets for the Sprint's Europe AOI**

- OS Open Zoomstack data product [https://os.uk/business-government/products/open-zoomstack]: A comprehensive basemap of the United Kingdom showing coverage from national level right down to street detail.

- OS Open Zoomstack stylesheets [https://github.com/OrdnanceSurvey/OS-Open-Zoomstack-Stylesheets]: These are OS Open Zoomstack stylesheets encoded in OGC SLD, Esri LYR, QGIS QML and Mapbox GL Styles formats.

**NRCan datasets for the Sprint's North America AOI**

- High Resolution Digital Elevation Model (HRDEM) [https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/957782bf-847c-4644-a757-e383c00657995]: Complete coverage of the Canadian territory in a Digital Terrain Model (DTM), a Digital Surface Model (DSM) and other derived data.
• **Canada Base Map Transportation (CBMT)** [https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/296de17c-001c-4435-8f9a-f5acab632e85]: Base map with a focus on transportation networks. Available as a tiled web map service.


• **RADARSAT-1** [https://www.asc-csa.gc.ca/eng/satellites/radarsat1/Default.asp]: An operational radar satellite system, equipped with a Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) instrument, capable of acquiring images of the Earth day or night, in all weather and through cloud cover, smoke and haze.

• **Open Maps** [http://open.canada.ca/en/open-maps]: Approximately 4600 open geospatial datasets for Canada.

### 5.2. Participants

Software developers and solutions architects from the following organizations registered to participate in the code sprint:

- APCO
- Carmenta AB
- Connected places catapult
- CRTC
- CubeWerx Inc.
- Danish Defense
- EAD
- EarthPulse
- Ecere Corporation
- Elemental Earth Data Ltd.
- Esri
- Federal University of Technology Akure
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada
- FrontierSI
- GatewayGeo
- Geobeyond Srl
- GeoCat BV
- GeoLabs
- GeoSolutions
- Geus
- Global Nomad GIS Services
• Heazeltech
• interactive instruments GmbH
• İstanbul Technical University
• ITU
• JLL
• European Commission - Joint Research Centre
• Kinder Institute at Rice University
• Kongsberg Geospatial
• Lexco Limited
• Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology
• Manipal University Jaipur
• Meteorological Service of Canada
• Met Office
• Montefiore IT
• National Resources Canada
• NIWA
• National University of Singapore
• Open Source Geospatial Foundation
• Ordnance Survey
• Planet
• Promethee
• Red Helmet Technology
• RMSI Pvt Ltd
• SDIS33
• Spatiomatics
• Synergetic systems
• UAB-CREAF
• UFMG
• UK Defence Science and Technology Laboratory
• UK Hydrographic Office
• Unc
• Univalle
• University of Lagos
• US Army Geospatial Center
• US Census
• Uttar Pradesh Remote Sensing Application Center
Chapter 6. Architecture

6.1. High Level Overview

The focus of the sprint was on support of the development of the draft OGC API - Maps [https://ogcapi.ogc.org/maps], OGC API - Tiles [https://ogcapi.ogc.org/tiles] and OGC API - Styles [https://ogcapi.ogc.org/styles] standards. Implementations of these draft standards were deployed in participants’ own infrastructure in order to build a solution with the architecture shown below in Figure 1.

As illustrated, the sprint architecture was designed with the view of enabling client applications to connect to different servers that implement OGC APIs. The servers were provisioned with maps, tiled feature data (colloquially named ‘vector tiles’), map tiles, tiled coverage data, and styles.

6.2. Candidate Standards

6.2.1. OGC API - Maps

The draft OGC API - Maps standard describes an API that presents maps portraying data that has been rendered according to a style. The maps served by implementations of the draft OGC API - Maps standard are retrieved as images of any size, generated on-the-fly, and with the styling determined by the client application. The draft standard can be considered the successor to the widely implemented WMS standard. The draft OGC API – Maps standard is a multipart standard that includes a Core (Part 1) and extensions that are planned to be developed in the future.

6.2.2. OGC API - Tiles

The draft OGC API - Tiles standard describes an API that implements the OGC Two Dimensional Tile Matrix Set (TMS) [http://docs.opengeospatial.org/is/17-083r2/17-083r2.html] standard to enable access to
tiled resources on the Web. The TMS standard defines the rules and requirements for a tile matrix set as a way to index space based on a set of regular grids defining a domain (tile matrix) for a limited list of scales in a CRS. The draft OGC API – Tiles standard is a multipart standard that includes a Core (Part 1) and extensions that are planned to be developed in the future.

6.2.3. OGC API - Styles

OGC API - Styles describes the interface and exchange of styling parameters and instructions. The construction of symbology components of styles is addressed in the OGC Symbology Conceptual Model: Core Part [https://docs.ogc.org/is/18-067r3/18-067r3.html] standard and multiple OGC and other style encoding standards.
Chapter 7. Results

Multiple organizations provided servers, API implementations, and capabilities during the event. The rest of this section describes each of the implementations.

7.1. Implementations

7.1.1. CubeWerx Inc.

The CubeWerx server ("cubeserv") supports a wide variety of back ends including Oracle, MariaDB, SHAPE files, etc. It also supports a wide array of service-dependent output formats (e.g. GML, GeoJSON, Mapbox Vector Tiles, MapMP, etc.) and coordinate reference systems. At the time of publishing this engineering report, the CubeSERV OGC API - Features Server product is certified OGC compliant [https://www.ogc.org/resource/products/details/?pid=1601] to the OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core standard. A screenshot of the landing page of a CubeSERV instance from a demonstration of the product during the code sprint is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Screenshot from a demonstration of CubeSERV](https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demos/ogcapi/Daraa)

Another screenshot of the CubeSERV instance, showing an HTML view of the API definition, is shown in Figure 3.
The cubeserv product is implemented in C and currently implements the following OGC specifications:

- The draft OGC API - Maps - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Tiles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Styles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Records - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Coverages - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Processes - Part 1: Core specification
- The OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core Standard
- Multiple versions of the Web Map Service (WMS), Web Processing Service (WPS), Web Map Tile Service (WMTS), Web Feature Service (WFS) and Web Coverage Service (WCS) standards
- A number of other "un-adopted" OGC web services including the Testbed-12 Web Integration Service, OWS-7 Engineering Report - GeoSynchronization Service, Web Object Service Implementation Specification.

During this code sprint, CubeWerx helped fine-tune and mature several aspects of the OGC API Maps, Tiles and Tiles specifications, and refined its implementation accordingly.

### 7.1.2. EarthPulse

EarthPulse [https://earthpulse.pt/] is a Small-to-Medium-sized Enterprise (SME) which operates within the fields of data engineering and data analytics. Its staff are experts in the areas of data analytics, full-stack development, Big Data, product development and access, management and processing of data sources such as geospatial or textual data.
EarthPulse is committed to Free and Open Source software (FOSS) and open standards. 

@doublebyte1 [https://github.com/doublebyte1/] and @PascalLike [https://github.com/PascalLike] participated in this sprint.

Motivation to Participate

EarthPulse are participating in a Horizon 2020 research project (945307 - eMOTIONAL Cities [https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/945307]). The main task for EarthPulse in the project is to create a spatial data infrastructure, using modern technologies.

The main motivation for EarthPulse to participate in this sprint was to learn more about OGC API standards and current implementations of the standards, to assess what is feasible to adopt in the project. At the same time, EarthPulse wanted to contribute to testing (and eventually improving) of current OGC API implementations.

Sprint Activities and Outcomes

In this sprint EarthPulse staff decided to focus on the OGC API - Tiles specification. In order to get familiar with it, EarthPulse staff decided to test some of its implementations.

The EarthPulse team started by installing and deploying the pygeoapi [https://pygeoapi.io/] product (see Figure 4), and configured pygeoapi to use a neuroscience dataset:


![Figure 4. Screenshot of pygeoapi instance deployed by EarthPulse](image-url)
During this process, the team became aware of a bug on pygeoapi’s OpenAPI representation of the get tile request.

A Pull Request (PR) was created to address this issue and has since been merged to the core:

https://github.com/geopython/pygeoapi/pull/694

The team also became aware of an issue with the default background map on the example configuration file. It was addressed on this PR (also merged):

https://github.com/geopython/pygeoapi/pull/697#partial-pull-merging

Finally, the team tested the OGC API - Tiles implementation of the spec, using postman:

https://www.getpostman.com/collections/3c54a654cb74f803b683

The team believes that not all the OGC API - Tiles requirements are currently implemented by pygeoapi, in particular in what regards TileMatrixSets. This creates some difficulty when retrieving a tile, i.e. when there are no requests to retrieve the TileMatrixSet.

The team also wanted to check a client-side implementation of the spec, and came across the leaflet-ogc-layers repository, developed during this sprint.

On the this fork, the EarthPulse team created an interactive map which uses this leaflet implementation:


It was not possible to display the tiles from the deployed server on this client, as the current implementation does not support vector tiles as shown in Figure 5. An issue was opened here, which clarifies the topic.
Some Thoughts

The conclusion of the EarthPulse team, as final users, is that it is still hard to find server and client implementations that can talk to each other.

For instance, maybe one server-side application may implement one building block, while a client application may implement another building block.

This issue will tend to vanish as support for more building blocks is added to the applications, or when a wider number of implementations of the draft OGC API - Tiles specification become available.

7.1.3. Ecere Corporation

The GNOSIS Map Server is written in the eC programming language and supports multiple OGC API specifications. Multiple encodings are supported including GNOSIS Map Tiles (which can contain either vector data, gridded coverages, imagery, point clouds or 3D meshes), GeoTIFF, GeoJSON, Mapbox Vector Tiles and MapML. An experimental server is available online at https://maps.ecere.com/ogcapi and has been used in multiple OGC Innovation Program initiatives. At the time of publishing this engineering report, the GNOSIS Map Server 1.0 product is certified OGC compliant [https://www.ogc.org/resource/products/details/?pid=1670] to the OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core standard.

During the code sprint, Ecere loaded high resolution digital terrain model data provided by Natural Resources Canada for the Red River, Manitoba area of interest onto the experimental map server,
including both 1-meter and 2-meter resolution. The API allows to retrieve coverages, maps and tiles (both coverage and map tiles) of this dataset, for which a style was also prepared and made available through the Styles API.

A screenshot of a Collection page for this dataset deployed on a GNOSIS Map Server instance is shown in Figure 6.
Ecere also demonstrated visualizing data accessed via OGC APIs through GNOSIS Cartographer. A screenshot of GNOSIS Cartographer used to visualize the Red River HRDEM is shown in Figure 7.
Developers from Ecere worked on implementing support for the styles management conformance class of \textit{OGC API - Styles} in GNOSIS Map Server, which will allow authenticated users to create, update and delete style resources.

Support for the new attribution field in the resources describing collections of geospatial data was also implemented in the server.

Additionally, the Tiles API implementation of the GNOSIS Map Server was updated to reflect the latest development of the TileMatrixSet and TileSet metadata specifications, including a transition to JSON Schema to describe the vector features properties.

For this code sprint, the GNOSIS Map Server instance deployed for the sprint was configured to offer an endpoint supporting:

- The draft OGC API - Maps - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Tiles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Styles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Coverages - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Processes - Part 3: Workflows specification
- The OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core Standard

\subsection*{7.1.4. GeoSolutions}

GeoSolutions deployed an instance of the GeoServer product. GeoServer is a Java-based software server that allows users to view and edit geospatial data. Using open standards by the OGC, GeoServer allows for great flexibility in map creation and data sharing. For this code sprint, the server was configured to offer an endpoint supporting:
• Multiple conformance classes and recommendations of the draft OGC API - Maps - Part 1: Core specification.
• Multiple conformance classes and recommendations of the draft OGC API - Tiles - Part 1: Core specification.
• Multiple conformance classes and recommendations of the draft OGC API - Styles - Part 1: Core specification.
• Multiple conformance classes and recommendations of the OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core Standard.

The development focused on updating the OGC API - Tiles implementation to the latest evolution of the spec, as well as setting up a permanent demonstration server for OGC API implementations.

**The demo server**

The gs-main demo server [https://gs-main.geosolutionsgroup.com/geoserver] tracks the development branch of GeoServer. With three deployments a day it closely tracks the latest evolutions of the software. On the flip side, because of this, the server can be at times unstable.

In particular, the server offers:

• The classic GeoServer demo datasets, such as Manhattan roads, Spearfish, Tasmania and the demographic "states" layers,
• The Reseaux River basin digital elevation model from Natural Resources Canada

*Table 1. ZoomStack, as displayed by OGC API Maps, in various styles (Contains OS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2021)*
The server offers the following OGC APIs:

- Features
- Maps
- Styles
- Tiles
- DGGS (though currently there are no DGGS enabled datasets in it)

**Updating OGC API Tiles**

The GeoServer implementation of OGC API Tiles dated back to the Vector Tiles Pilot 2, the API got significantly updated in the meantime.

In particular, before the update a single templated URL was offered to the clients, that was
parameterized on a number of elements, e.g.:

```plaintext
../ogc/tiles/collections/testCollection/map/{styleId}/tiles/{tileMatrixSetId}/{tileMatrix}/{tileRow}/{tileCol}?f=image%2Fpng
```

In order to fill the template, the client would pick the styles from the collection style list, and the tile matrix set from the dedicated resource. However, in the current incarnation, new intermediate resources have been added that progressively reveal the components of the path:

- A "styles" resource containing links to the available styles
- A "map" resource for each collection and style, providing a link to the available tilesets
- A "tileset" resource finally providing a templated link with the familiar "z/y/x" template variables, e.g.:

```plaintext
http://gs-main.geosolutionsgroup.com/geoserver/ogc/tiles/collections/oszoom%3Aroads_regional/styles/oszoom:roads_regional-road/map/tiles/WebMercatorQuad/{tileMatrix}/{tileRow}/{tileCol}?f=image%2Fpng
```

This eases usage for clients that happen to support generic xyz tilesets, at least as long as the WebMercatorQuad tile matrix set is used.

### 7.1.5. interactive instruments GmbH

ldproxy is an implementation of the OGC API family of specifications. ldproxy is developed by interactive instruments GmbH, written in Java (Source Code) and is typically deployed using docker (DockerHub). The software originally started in 2015 as a Web API for feature data based on Web Feature Service (WFS) 2.0 capabilities, inspired by the W3C/OGC Spatial Data on the Web Best Practices. In addition to the JSON/XML encodings, an emphasis is placed on an intuitive HTML representation.

The current version supports WFS 2.0 instances as well as PostgreSQL/PostGIS and GeoPackage databases as backends. It implements all conformance classes and recommendations of "OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core" and "OGC API - Features- Part 2: Coordinate Reference Systems By Reference", as well as other draft extensions (including Part 3 and Part 4 of OGC API Features). ldproxy also has draft implementations for additional resource types from OGC API Tiles and OGC API Styles. At the time of publishing this engineering report, the ldproxy 2.0 product is certified OGC compliant [https://www.ogc.org/resource/products/details/?pid=1598] to the OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core standard and is one of the Reference Implementations of the standard.

For this code sprint, the server was configured to offer an endpoint supporting:

- The draft OGC API - Tiles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Styles - Part 1: Core specification
- The OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core and OGC API - Features - Part 2: Coordinate Reference Systems by Reference standards
- The draft OGC API - Features - Part 3: Filtering and CQL specification

A screenshot showing the landing page of an ldproxy instance during the code sprint is shown in [Figure 8](figure). The instance had been configured before the sprint to serve OS Open Zoomstack vector
tiles, OS Open Zoomstack styles and OS Open Zoomstack features through an API conforming to OGC API - Styles and OGC API - Features. The instance also supported the capability to create, update and delete styles and their metadata.

Figure 9. Screenshot from a demonstration of ldproxy, showing a landing page

A screenshot of the HTML version of the API definition of the ldproxy instance is shown in Figure 9.

Figure 10. Screenshot from a demonstration of ldproxy, showing the API definition
During the sprint, ldproxy has been updated to implement the latest drafts of OGC API - Tiles and the OGC Two Dimensional Tile Matrix Set and Tile Set Metadata specifications. This includes changes that were agreed among the participants during the sprint.

### 7.1.6. Meteorological Service of Canada

The Meteorological Service of Canada (MSC) is a federal department of the Government of Canada. MSC works in close collaboration with NRCan to align national spatial data infrastructure activities in support of providing data to Canadians, as well as in meeting Canada’s international commitments with the UN World Meteorological Organization (WMO).


MSC’s participation in the sprint is driven by the need for lowering the barrier to entry to weather/climate/water data for both existing and new information communities as well as the mass market. The OGC API efforts are well positioned to extend the reach of MSC data, and the availability of implementations further helps with this goal.

MSC’s evolving OGC API offerings are provided using the [pygeoapi](https://pygeoapi.io) product - an open source Python server implementation of the OGC API suite of standards. The product supports the microservices approach and allows for scalability and cloud friendly deployment. At the time of publishing this engineering report, the pygeoapi 0.9.0 product is [certified OGC compliant](https://www.ogc.org/resource/products/details/?pid=1663) to the OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core standard, and additionally implements the following standards:

- The draft OGC API - Processes - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Tiles - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Coverages - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Records - Part 1: Core specification
- The OGC API - Environmental Data Retrieval Standard
- The OGC API - Features - Part 1: Core Standard
- SpatioTemporal Asset Catalog

During the sprint, MSC deployed an instance of pygeoapi and worked with OSGeo colleagues from GeoCat BV and Geobeyond Srl to implement an endpoint supporting the abovementioned standards, as well as the following feature enhancements developed during the sprint:

- The draft OGC API - Maps - Part 1: Core specification
- The draft OGC API - Styles - Part 1: Core specification

MSC also collaborated with Ecere in testing and [updating OGC API - Tiles support in pygeoapi](https://github.com/geopython/pygeoapi/issues/699) to align with recent iterations of the specification.

A screenshot from a demonstration of a pygeoapi instance during the code sprint is shown in...
The resulting work can be found in a pygeoapi feature branch [https://github.com/tomkralidis/pygeoapi/tree/oamaps]. The work will continue to evolve as the OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Styles specifications evolve.

During the code sprint, MSC also extended the Python OWSLib [https://geopython.github.io/OWSLib] client library to support OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Styles. The resulting work [https://github.com/tomkralidis/OWSLib/tree/oamaps] will continue to evolve with specification development.

Finally, MSC raised support of dimensions in OGC API - Maps as a discussion [https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05/issues/27] in the context of MetOcean requirements.

![Figure 11. Screenshot from a demonstration of pygeoapi](image)

### 7.1.7. Open Source Geospatial Foundation

The Open Source Geospatial Foundation (OSGeo) is a not-for-profit organization whose mission is to foster global adoption of open geospatial technology by being an inclusive software foundation devoted to an open philosophy and participatory community driven development. The foundation consists of projects that develop open source software products. Multiple OSGeo projects and community projects participated in the sprint, for example GeoServer, pygeoapi and OWSLib.

### 7.1.8. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

The Centre for Ecological Research and Forestry Applications (CREAF) is a public research institute created in 1987 and located in Catalonia. It is part of the Autonomous University of Barcelona (UAB). CREAF deployed an instance of the MiraMon Map Server. The MiraMon Map Server is a CGI application encoded in C language that is part of the MiraMon Geographic Information System (GIS) & Remote Sensing (RS) suite. The software originally started 10 years ago as a WMS server in support of the Catalan Administration and CREAF data services. Currently the server implements WMS, WMTS and partially implements WFS and WCS. It also partially implements the OGC Sensor
Observation Service (SOS) standard. It also includes prototype support for the draft OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles specifications. In order to perform efficiently, it requires a process preparing the data to be offered. The server can interoperate with other vendors' clients. When combined with the MiraMon Map Client, the server offers additional functionality, including functionality recently developed for the Catalan Data Cube. The MiraMon Map Client is built using client-side JavaScript and can therefore run on any web browser.

7.2. Documentation

7.2.1. Quick Start Guide of OGC API - Styles

Sprint participants from the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory (Dstl) took the lead in preparing an initial draft version of a Quick Start Guide for OGC API - Styles. The guide is intended to focus a developer on the API's capabilities in order to increase a developer's understanding of the API and to help them get started. The guide identifies a number of use cases, key concepts, resources and provides examples.

Figure 12. Screenshot of Quick Start Guide of OGC API - Styles

**Quick Start Guide to OGC API - Styles Standard**

**Introduction**

The OGC API - Style standard defines the API building blocks for Web APIs to manage and fetch styles. Web APIs are software interfaces that use an architecture that is founded on web technologies, a style is a set of instructions used by a rendering engine to symbolize features and/or coverages.

This guide is not intended to be a replacement for the proposed OGC API - Styles Standard. Instead this guide is intended to focus on the API's capabilities in order to increase a user's understanding and to help them get started. Please refer to the OGC API - Styles Standard for additional detail. This guide will use the term "Styles API" to refer to a Web API that has implemented the OGC API - Style Standard.

**Use Case**

The styles API is designed to support three main use cases:

- In conjunction with the OGC API - Maps standard to fetch styles and render spatial data on the server
- By map clients to fetch styles and render spatial data on the client
- By visual style editors that create, update and delete styles for datasets shared by other implementations of the OGC APIs

**Key Concepts**

There are three main concepts used in the Styles API:

- Style - The main resource used in the API
- Stylesheet - The encoding used by the style resource, a single style can contain one or more stylesheets. Example of stylesheets are OGC SLD 1.0, Mapbox Style & ESRI Layer file
- Style Metadata - General descriptive information of a style which allows users to discover and select existing styles. Metadata is optional in the standard.
Chapter 8. Discussion

The participants used the Gitter platform for written discussion. This was in addition to using Gotomeeting for discussion during the scheduled teleconferences. Individual issues were recorded on the Issues board on GitHub. A screenshot of the Gitter channel is shown below in Figure 12. The Gitter channel can be found at https://gitter.im/ogc-developer/Sprints

Figure 12. Screenshot of Gitter channel

A screenshot of the GitHub repository is shown below in Figure 13. The GitHub repository can be found at https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05
The next subsections provide a summary of the discussion.

## 8.1. OGC API support for different approaches to organizing styles, layers and data sources

There was a [discussion](https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05/issues/15) on how OGC APIs could support different approaches to organizing styles, layers and data sources. The sprint participants observed that there are two different approaches of how SLD is used by implementations. One approach involves having the SLD at the top level, whereas the other approach does not. The sprint participants were asked the question "Should we have two conformance classes for the different approaches?". [Issue#5 on the OGC API - Styles repository](https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-styles/issues/5) includes a proposal on how to change the specification to better support SLD as an encoding. After discussing the topic during the sprint, the sprint participants recommended that: There could be a need to have two separate conformance classes to support the different paradigms, if both are needed in practice. There was also a discussion, if the approach proposed in Issue#5 on the OGC API - Styles GitHub repository conforms to the SLD/SE standards.

## 8.2. Support for legends

There was a [discussion](https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05/issues/17) on the need for support for legends. Currently legends are not supported in OGC API - Maps nor OGC API - Styles, however it is expected that client applications will need or want to present a legend for the information on a map. To facilitate the discussion, CubeWerx provided the paths to a Cubeserv...
implementation of a legend capability. The paths are listed in Annex A. A screenshot from a prototype built using pygeoapi are shown in Figure 14.

![pygeoapi](https://example.com/pygeoapi.png)
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*Figure 15. Screenshot from a legend generated using pygeoapi*

The sprint participants also noted that if a legend is a resource in OGC API - Maps, it could be a bitmap graphic of a legend. However, if it is a resource in OGC API - Styles, then it should be a data resource, so that clients can render the legend as they wish and that supports combining information from multiple legends.

### 8.3. Changes to a style with multiple occurrences in an API

There was a [discussion](https://github.com/opengeospatial/ogcapi-code-sprint-2021-05/issues/18) regarding how changes to a style with multiple occurrences in an API are executed. The sprint participants were asked the question "If a style foo is used in several places in an API (that is, there are several resources where the path includes /styles/foo somewhere), does a PUT/DELETE on that style affect all occurrences of the style or only the one on which the operation is executed? In other words, is it only one resource with multiple URIs or are these separate resources?". For example, for an implementation that has a "night" style at /styles/night, the participants considered whether changes to that style should be propagated to other instances of the same style e.g. /collection/foo/styles/night.

After discussing this topic, the sprint participants proposed adding the following statement to the OGC API - Styles specification:
A service may implement HTTP PUT and/or HTTP DELETE methods for the `/collections/{collectionId}/styles/{styleId}` endpoints. If implemented, these methods shall have the effect of adding/replacing or deleting the definition of the specified style as it pertains to the specified collection. E.g., after a DELETE of `/collections/foo/styles/night`, a future GET of `/collections/foo/styles/night` should return a 404 Not Found, whereas a future GET of `/styles/night` should return a style definition that lacks any mention of collection foo.

8.4. Multiple dimensions in OGC API - Maps

The sprint participants observed that in the Meteorology and Oceanography (MetOcean) context, there is a need to consider addressing dimensions as part of rendering in OGC API - Maps. This need is similar, in part, to the need that triggered the development of the OGC Best Practice for using Web Map Services (WMS) with Time-Dependent or Elevation-Dependent Data. So the Best Practice document can be consulted for information on the approach taken by the previous generation of OGC Web Service Standards. A given collection would need to advertise its dimensions and their relevant extents (e.g. in a MetOcean/Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) context there could be dimensions for the model run/reference time, forecast time, elevation/pressure level, etc.)

It was noted that the Maps API already supports `datetime` and `subset` parameters, as demonstrated by Ecere’s implementation from this sprint and the Hexagon implementation from a previous sprint. Example queries from the Ecere implementation are below:

```
```

```
```

The sprint participants observed that the approach should ideally be the same for non-static tiles or maps as the approach taken for the underlying source data.

If the source data is features, then there is a need to support parameters like `datetime`, `filter`, `collections`, `properties`, etc. in a way similar to the OGC API - Features resources to filter the features and reduce the properties that are returned. This approach was demonstrated by the ldproxy product and was part of the experiments in the OGC Vector Tiles Pilot 2. An example is below:

```
https://demo.ldproxy.net/daraa/tiles/WebMercatorQuad/11/827/1229?collections=AgricultureSrf,TransportationGroundCrv&datetime=2012-02-12T00:00:00Z/...&properties=F_CODE
```

8.5. Styles, Tiles: Metadata review

The sprint participants conducted a review of the metadata elements specified by OGC APIs for Maps, Tiles, and Styles. The review uncovered that there is significant overlap between the metadata for a style and for a tileset. At the same time, there are issues and differences that should be addressed. Harmonization of the metadata elements, should also be consistent with OGC API -
Records. Specific observations and proposals are listed below:

- "title" and "version" are the same.
- "abstract" vs "description". Proposal: use "description".
- "keywords". Styles API uses strings, Tiles API uses a more complex model. Note that the Records API uses strings for "keywords", too. For controlled vocabularies, "themes" is used. Proposal: restrict "keywords" to strings.
- The Styles and Tiles API use "pointOfContact", whereas the Records API uses "contactpoint". Proposal: agree on a single name.
- The Styles and Tiles APIs use "accessConstraints" with a fixed list from the intelligence domain. At the same time more generally useful information like "license" is missing. Proposal: add "license" and drop "accessConstraints". Communities that need the "accessConstraints" elements can always add it through an extension.
- The Tiles API has "publisher", whereas the Styles API does not. The Records API has it, too. Proposal: add "publisher" to the Styles API.
- The Styles API has a fixed "scope" (value "style"), whereas the Tiles API does not. The Records API instead has "type", a URI. Proposal: harmonize.
- The Styles API has various dates in "dates", whereas the Tiles API has them in "date". The Records API only has "created" and "updated", but not embedded in a data type. Proposal: follow the approach from the Records API.
- The Styles and Tiles APIs both have "layers", but with different content.
  - The Styles API has "type" (point, line, polygon, geometry, raster), whereas the Tiles API has "dataType" (vector, coverage, map) and "geometryType" (points, lines, polygons). Proposal: use "dataType" and "geometryType".
  - The Styles API has "attributes" (the OpenAPI 3.0 schema for each attribute), whereas the Tiles API has "propertiesSchema" (a subset of JSON Schema describing an object where each attribute is a property plus some extensions to JSON Schema like "observedProperty" or "uom"). Proposal: Use standard JSON Schema without restrictions. Add a recommendation for a profile, similar to the approach taken by Features for Queryables.

- There is "mediaType" as a string, but the description implies that there can be multiple media types. Proposal: Either change the element to an array, or revise the description to state a maximum occurrence of 1.

There was also an observation made regarding the Tiles API, that the use of scaleDenominator, cellSize and/or the tileMatrix could lead to confusion. This is because every client would have to be able to handle all of them and convert them to the internal mechanism that the client uses. There was a suggestion to pick one to make it easier for clients.

It was acknowledged across the sprint that there is a need to request feedback from Client implementors regarding whether to keep scaleDenominator, cellSize, tileMatrix elements, and that there are several benefits to keeping all of the elements. The sprint participants recommended that, for the Executable Test Suite (ETS), if the server provides the scaleDenominator, cellSize, tileMatrix elements, the ETS should check if they are consistent to a significant number of digits (e.g. at least
After discussing the results of the metadata review, the sprint participants noted that there is a lot of value in dropping the 'accessConstraints' field and going with 'license'. Whereas 'accessConstraints' is used more in implementations of ISO 19115, the term 'license' is used in Dublin Core and DCAT implementations. Therefore, the term 'license' may be the more general term to use - between the two terms.

The sprint participants recommended that a review of the metadata in OGC API - Common, - Maps, -Tiles be carried out and similarities/differences should be discussed in a future multi-SWG meeting.

8.6. Suggested styleId when creating a style

The Styles API extends on the generic Create/Replace/Delete requirements class, i.e., styles are always created with POST and the server assigns the styleId. The server is free to parse the submitted stylesheet to determine a meaningful styleId, if it wants, but that is not possible in style encodings that do not include an identifier.

The Sprint participants noted that this potentially could be addressed by adding an HTTP header that clients could use to suggest a styleId with a HTTP POST request. The server would be free to ignore the suggestion. It was also noted that HTTP PUT could also be used for a similar purpose, in accordance with RFC 2616, as demonstrated by the CubeWerx implementation’s acceptance of an HTTP PUT /styles/{styleId} request to create (or replace) a style with a specific ID. This issue was highlighted for further discussion in the SWGs because HTTP PUT is the typical way of allowing a client to create a resource with a client-defined URI, whereas HTTP POST is for situations where the server should assign a URI.

8.7. Summary of Code Sprint Outcomes

This section presents a summary of the outcomes of the sprint.

8.7.1. Immediate Lessons

- The Tiles API was found to be reasonably stable. However, there appears to be different interpretations of how to apply styles to maps collections and maps of datasets.

- Evolution of the Well Known Scale Set (WKSS) concept into common Tile Matrix Set (TMS) concepts was another outcome. The sprint participants suggested that information provided by WKSS could be derived from a TMS. Further consultation with other OGC Working Groups will be needed to determine the future role of WKSS in the 2D TMS Standard.

- Another key outcome is that the interoperability of buildings blocks has been completely demonstrated. The three APIs have been successfully demonstrated together.

- The sprint has shown that a lot that is common can be shared across the APIs i.e. how much OGC API - Common - Part 2 facilitates the client implementation.

- The interaction between OGC APIs for Maps, Tiles, and Styles worked well. No major issues came up that could not be verified and/or resolved.
• More work needs to be done on the Styles API in general e.g. to determine the impact on API resources when styles are used.

• The code sprint focused on the API aspects of the styles but not on the formats of the styles. More work is needed on the format aspects of the styles (e.g. in relation to the Symbology Core [https://docs.ogc.org/is/18-067r3/18-067r3.html] standard).

• While in the Tiles API a metadata model has been developed, in the Maps API there has been less interest in developing a specific metadata model.

8.7.2. Implications for NMAs

The sprint participants considered what the APIs will do to help meet the needs of NMAs. The following is a summary:

• **Providing the public with access to geospatial data and maps**: The OGC APIs will make it easier for the general public to access maps through regular web browser technologies. For example, through OGC API - Maps it is now possible to access a complete map through a basic URL (i.e. no query parameters). OGC API - Tiles will make it easier to publish maps as vector tiles, which are becoming increasingly popular in the NMA community. The APIs are able to provide data in a way that 2.5D and 3D visualization clients are able to handle.

• **Facilitating analytics**: OGC API - Tiles is able to publish tiled coverage data in such a way that makes it easier to 'stream' coverages for analysis at the screen resolution. This makes it possible to create histograms, vegetation indices, and other analytical reports all at the screen resolution. The flexibility of specifying the origin of the tiles will make it easier to combine regular OGC tiles with other tiles.

• **Reducing barriers to accessing geospatial data**: OGC APIs together make it easier to start with a dataset and then find a way to generate tiles and other resources. The OGC APIs are integrated in a very convenient way. The Styles API makes it possible for NMA's to publish styles from a central location in a way that is consistent with how they publish data. The integrated environment makes it easier to manage things together.
Chapter 9. Conclusions

The code sprint successfully facilitated the development and testing of prototype implementations of the OGC API - Maps draft standard, OGC API - Tiles draft standard, and the OGC API – Styles draft standard. The code sprint built upon the successes of recent code sprints that included the aforementioned draft OGC API standards. Those previous code sprints had covered OGC API - Maps [1] and also other draft OGC API standards [2].

The code sprint enabled the participating developers to provide feedback to the editors of OGC standards. The feedback identified areas for improvement and potential solutions to issues encountered. The participants of the code sprint were able to identify ways through which the OGC APIs could help to meet the needs of NMAs. The code sprint therefore met all of its objectives and achieved its goal of progressing the development of the draft OGC API standards for Maps, Tiles and Styles.

9.1. Future Work

The sprint participants considered how the sprint's outcomes could be incorporated into future OGC Standards Program and Innovation Program activities.

9.1.1. Potential activities for the Innovation Program

For the OGC Innovation Program, the sprint participants identified a need to:

- experiment with multidimensional data support in OGC APIs.
- explore how to turn legends into real data (objects) that can be combined by the client (e.g. asking a client to provide the elements that are in a legend).
- research how simple a structure needs to be to meet the needs for a legend while also being easily implementable.
- experiment with coverage tiles, as they are becoming increasingly important (e.g. in support of rendering a Digital Surface Model (DSM)). Strategies for identifying suitable sizes of the tiles need to tested/researched.
- experiment with non-grid coverages (e.g. point clouds).
- explore the possibility of an 'info' capability that supports different data sources and query options (not just retrieval of the value at a point).
- experiment with style editor clients using a secured API to create, update and delete styles and related resources.

OGC Innovation Program activities rely on sponsorship to resource initiatives. Therefore, the potential activities listed above will be presented to the OGC Technical Committee through the Architecture Domain Working Group in order to raise interest from potential sponsors.

9.1.2. Potential activities for the Standards Program

For the OGC Standards Program, the sprint participants identified a need to:
• specify a legend conformance class for the OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Styles draft specifications.

• specify an 'info' conformance class for the OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles draft specifications.

• implement an OGC API - Maps conformance class/extension to support time dependent maps (in a way similar to the OGC Best Practice for using Web Map Services (WMS) with Time-Dependent or Elevation-Dependent Data (1.0) [https://portal.ogc.org/files/?artifact_id=56394]) e.g. the subset and datetime parameters.

The OGC API - Maps and OGC API - Tiles Standards Working Groups will be tasked with specifying requirements for the legend and info conformance classes. Once the requirements have been specified, there may be a need to conduct further experimentation focusing on implementations of the legend and info conformance classes.

The OGC API - Styles Standards Working Group will resolve all issues related to the specification that were raised during the sprint.
Appendix A: Prototype Legend Support

The map-level endpoints that the CubeWerx OGC API demo server that is running at https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa implemented for this sprint are:

/map/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of one or more collections as they would appear in the corresponding map. e.g.: https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/map/legend?transparent=false  https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/map/legend?scale=150000&transparent=false

/styles/{styleId}/map/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of one or more collections as they would appear in the corresponding map in the specified style. e.g.: https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/styles/Night/map/legend?transparent=false

/collections{collectionId}/map/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of the specified collection as it would appear in the corresponding map. e.g.: https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/collections/TransportationGroundCrv/map/legend?transparent=false

/collections/{collectionId}/styles/{styleId}/map/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of the specified collection as it would appear in the corresponding map in the specified style. E.g.: https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/collections/TransportationGroundCrv/styles/Night/map/legend?transparent=false

Each of these endpoints takes the following optional parameters:

- **transparent** - Whether or not the background of the image should be transparent (when supported by the requested image format).
- **bgcolor** - Hexadecimal red-green-blue color value for the background color. If not specified, the background color specified by the style (0xFFFFFFFF by default) will be used.
- **textcolor** - Hexadecimal red-green-blue color value for the text color. If not specified, a color that contrasts the background color will be used.
- **scale** - If specified, a legend graphic specific to this scale (expressed as a scale denominator) will be returned. Otherwise, a possibly-composite image providing a legend graphic for each of the scale ranges defined by the style will be returned.
- **pixelSize** - The physical linear size of a display pixel in millimetres. If the display device has non-square pixels, then sqrt(width * height) should be provided. The pixel units and scale rules in a style definition are with respect to a standardized rendering pixel size of 0.28mm. Knowledge of the actual pixel size of the display device will allow the renderer to produce a map with the intended look even if the actual pixel size is significantly different from the standardized rendering pixel size.
- **f** - A token indicating the content type to return. Overrides the HTTP "Accept" header if present. A value of "jop" (content type "image/x-jpegorpng") indicates that either JPEG or PNG should be returned, whichever the server deems to be most appropriate for this particular image.

The CubeWerx implementation also supports legends at the tile-level endpoints (which indicate
their zoom level via the {tileMatrixSetId} and {tileMatrix} path elements:

/collections/{collectionId}/map/tiles/{tileMatrixSetId}/{tileMatrix}/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of the specified collection as it would appear in the corresponding map tiles of the specified zoom level. E.g:

https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/collections/CultureSrf/map/tiles/smerc/12/legend?transparent=false

/collections/{collectionId}/styles/{styleId}/map/tiles/{tileMatrixSetId}/{tileMatrix}/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of the specified collection as it would appear in the corresponding map tiles of the specified zoom level in the specified style. E.g.:

https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/collections/CultureSrf/styles/Night/map/tiles/smerc/12/legend?transparent=false

CubeWerx also experimented with the following legend endpoint:

/styles/{styleId}/legend - A legend image showing a graphical representation of the specified style, broken down by each of the collections that it's capable of rendering.

https://test.cubewerx.com/cubewerx/cubeserv/demo/ogcapi/Daraa/styles/Night/legend?transparent=false

However, this returns practically the same legend as `/styles/{styleId}/map/legend` so it is unclear whether a separate legend endpoint here is warranted.
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